Carbon Dioxide Storage Volume Potential in the Permian Basin, West Texas, USA

Taylor Barnhart December 7th, 2020 GIS & GPS Applications in Earth Science Fall Semester 2020

Table of Contents

Proble	m Statement	4				
Data C	ollection	4				
Data P	reprocessing	5				
ArcGIS	Processing	5				
1.	Set Up ArcMap	5				
2.	Georeference and Digitize the Midland Basin Outline	6				
3.	Mosaic to New Raster Separate DEMs and Extract by Mask1	0				
4.	Mask Precambrian Basement layer1	3				
5.	Calculating Storage Window From Elevation Data and Resampling1	5				
6.	Projecting Rasters for the Cut Fill Tool1	6				
7.	Cut Fill to Determine Storage Volume1	7				
8.	Applying an Efficiency Factor1	9				
Data P	resentation1	9				
Discus	sion2	1				
Bibliog	ibliography21					

Table of Figures

Figure 1: Adding a Basemap and County Outlines6
Figure 2: Fit to Display the Permian Basin Map Image7
Figure 3: Adjusting Map Image Transparency7
Figure 4: Adding Control Points to the Map Image8
Figure 5: Create New Shapefile to Digitize Midland Basin Outline9
Figure 6: Midland Basin Outline Feature9
Figure 7: Midland Basin Area Calculation10
Figure 8: 30-Meter DEM Elevation Data11
Figure 9: Mosaic to New Raster the 30-Meter DEMs Set-Up11
Figure 10: Mosaic to New Raster the 30-Meter DEMs Result
Figure 11: Extract by Mask the 30-Meter DEM Mosaic
Figure 12: Loading the Precambrian Basement Layer13
Figure 13: Extract by Mask the Precambrian Basement Raster14
Figure 14: Raster Calculator to Covert Precambrian Basement From Feet to Meters
Figure 15: Calculating CO2 Storage Window From Elevation Data
Figure 16: Adjusting the Cell Size of the Precambrian Basement Layer with Resampling16
Figure 17: Projecting Each Raster Layer into UTM Coordinates16
Figure 18: Volume Estimation Using the Cut Fill (With Projection) Tool Set-Up
Figure 19: Volume Estimation Using the Cut Fill (With Projection) Tool Results
Figure 20: Volume Estimation Using the Cut Fill (With Z Factor) Tool Set-Up
Figure 21: Volume Estimation Using the Cut Fill (With Z Factor) Tool Set-Up
Figure 22: Final Map of Height of Storage Window in the Midland Basin

Problem Statement

Quantifying the potential carbon dioxide (CO₂) storage volume of a carbon sequestration sedimentary basin is essential for demonstrating to the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Underground Injection Control (UIC) program that the chosen storage site is viable for storage of the estimated volume of CO₂ from a chosen source. Being able to quantify the volume of storage will assist UIC injection permit applicants in successfully obtaining a Class VI injection permit. This project demonstrates a simplified methodology for estimating the CO₂ storage potential within a defined geographic area, and specifically answers the following question:

What is the volume of available CO₂ storage in the saline formations of the Permian Basin?

In order to answer this question, I will use the Map Algebra tool in ArcGIS along with different data sources to calculate a volume. To estimate a storage volume I could use a combination of the following data types:

- Elevation OR Potentiometric Surface (~800m below this surface is the top of supercritical CO₂ storage window). Top of storage window can be improved if data on geothermal gradient can be found.
- 2. Base of fresh water (According to UIC rules, injection of CO₂ cannot occur in formation fluids where there is <10,000 TDS)
- 3. Top of basement in the Permian Basin (this will be the depth limit for CO₂ storage)
- 4. Area of the storage unit (this area will be defined by geologic structure and/or boundaries of chosen Texas counties where storage will take place)

These data sources are used to solve the following simplified equation:

(Top of storage window - Top of basement) * area of storage unit * efficiency factor (found through porosity thickness) = potential volume of storage

More specifically for this report I focused on Midland County and Midland Basin, as the city of Midland will provide excellent sources of CO₂ for potential storage.

Data Collection

SOURCE	URL	SPATIAL	RESOLUTION	BRIEF EXPLANATION
NAME		REFERENCE		
Texas	https://gis-	GCS_WGS_		Polygon shapefile of Texas
Counties	txdot.opendata.arcgis.com/	1984		County boundaries
	datasets/8b902883539a41			
	6780440ef009b3f80f_0			
Elevation	https://viewer.nationalmap	GCS_North	(0.00027777	30 meter DEM elevation data
	.gov/basic/?basemap=b1&	_American	778,	for West Texas. Higher
	category=ned,nedsrc&title	_1983	0.000277777	resolution is available, but
	=3DEP%20View#/		78)	takes too long to download.

Top of	https://www.beg.utexas.ed	GCS_North	(0.018,0.018)	Precambrian basement
Basement	u/resprog/permianbasin/gi	_American		structure grid interpolated
	s.htm	_1927		from contours
Geothermal	https://www.beg.utexas.ed	GCS_North		Geothermal Gradient contour
Gradient	u/resprog/permianbasin/gi	_American		lines (contoured every
	s.htm	_1927		0.1°F/100ft)
Midland	https://www.eia.gov/maps			Digitized outline of the
Basin	/pdf/PermianBasin_Wolfca			Midland Basin (a subpart of
Outline	mp_EIAReport_Oct2018.pd			the Permian Basin)
	f			

Data Preprocessing

For this project, data preprocessing is not a major part of the workflow. The data outlined above is made usable for the goal of this project through different ArcGIS processing schemes. The separate elevation DEMs will need to be merged, which is explained in the ArcGIS Processing section. The Midland Basin outline image needs to be georeferenced and digitized, which is explained in the ArcGIS Processing section. And finally all these raster layers will need to be clipped to the Midland Basin outline and used with Raster Algebra techniques to obtain a storage volume.

ArcGIS Processing

The ArcGIS scheme appropriate for this study is explained in the following eight steps.

1. Set Up ArcMap

To set up ArcMap I clicked the "Add Data" button and selected "Add Basemap..." to add the imagery basemap for visualization purposes (Figure 1).

I also clicked the "Add Data" button and added the TxDOT county shapefile to my map. This sets the coordinate system of my Data Frame as WGS_1984_Web_Mercator_Auxiliary_Sphere (Figure 1).





2. Georeference and Digitize the Midland Basin Outline

The goal of this step was to georeference and digitize the Midland Basin outline, which will be used as the area in the subsequent volume calculations. I clicked the "Add Data" button to add the major structural and tectonic features in the region of the Permian Basin image from the EIA Permian Basin Wolfcamp Shale Play Geology Review to the map.

Then I went to the "Georeferencing" tool bar and selected "Fit to Display" for the image I want to georeferenced (Figure 2).



Figure 2: Fit to Display the Permian Basin Map Image

I double-clicked on the Midland Basin Outline in the Table of Contents (TOC) to open the "Layer Properties" window. Then I clicked on the "Display" tab and changed the transparency to 60% to make georeferencing easier (Figure 3).



Figure 3: Adjusting Map Image Transparency

Then I clicked on the "Add Control Points" button in the "Georeferencing" toolbar and began the process of georeferencing. I added 5 control points, and because I only want a rough outline of the Midland Basin accuracy is not severely important. In order to georeference I toggled on and off the display of the image and County outlines while adding control points, and toggled on "Vertex Snapping" in the "Snapping" toolbar (Figure 4).



Figure 4: Adding Control Points to the Map Image

Then I selected "Update Georeferencing" in the "Georeferencing" toolbar.

To outline the Midland Basin, I went to "Catalog," right-clicked my home folder, and selected "New > Shapefile." I named this shapefile "Midland Basin," and set the "Feature Type" as "Polygon." I also set the coordinate system to be WGS_1984_Web_Mercator_Auxiliary_Sphere (Figure 5).



Figure 5: Create New Shapefile to Digitize Midland Basin Outline

To digitize the Midland Basin I right-clicked on "Midland Basin" in the TOC and selected "Edit Features > Start Editing." Then I went to the "Create Features" tab to check that I had "Midland Basin" selected and began tracing the outline of the Midland Basin. Once I finished I went to the "Editor" toolbar and selected "Save Edits," then "Stop Editing," (Figure 6).



Figure 6: Midland Basin Outline Feature

To calculate the area of Midland Basin I right-clicked on the "Midland Basin" outline layer in the TOC and selected "Open Attribute Table," then I clicked on the "Table Options" button and clicked "Add Field" to add a float type field called "Area." After that I right-clicked on the "Area" field and selected "Calculate Geometry" to calculate the area of the Midland Basin in m². This number came out to be 49,856,000,000 m² (Figure 7).



Figure 7: Midland Basin Area Calculation

3. Mosaic to New Raster Separate DEMs and Extract by Mask

I first loaded all of the applicable 30 meter DEMs to my map (Figure 8).

Taylor Barnhart



Figure 8: 30-Meter DEM Elevation Data

Then I combined these separate raster files into one single raster using the "Mosaic to New Raster" tool. This tool is in "Data Management Tools > Raster > Raster Dataset." The "Input Rasters" are all the individual rasters, the "Output Location" is my home folder, the "Raster Dataset Name with Extension is "Midland_Elev," the "Pixel Type" is "32_Bit_Float," and the "Number of Bands" is 1 (Figure 9 & 10).



Figure 9: Mosaic to New Raster the 30-Meter DEMs Set-Up

Taylor Barnhart



Figure 10: Mosaic to New Raster the 30-Meter DEMs Result

Then I used the "Extract by Mask" tool in "Spatial Analysis Tools > Extraction" to obtain only the elevation values within the Midland Basin outline. The "Input Raster" is the new "Midland_Elev" raster, the "Input Raster or feature mask data" is the "Midland Basin" outline, and the "Output Raster" is "Elev_clp," (Figure 11).



Figure 11: Extract by Mask the 30-Meter DEM Mosaic

4. Mask Precambrian Basement layer

I clicked the "Add Data" button to add the "prek_str" raster file to the map (Ruppel, et al., 2005) (Figure 12).



Figure 12: Loading the Precambrian Basement Layer

Then I used the "Extract by Mask" tool in "Spatial Analysis Tools > Extraction" to obtain only the elevation values within the Midland Basin outline. The "Input Raster" is the "prek_str" raster, the "Input Raster or feature mask data" is the "Midland Basin" outline, and the "Output Raster" is "Basement_clp," (Figure 13).

Taylor Barnhart



Figure 13: Extract by Mask the Precambrian Basement Raster

Then I used the "Raster Calculator" tool in "Spatial Analyst Tools > Map Algebra" to convert this raster from feet to meters using a conversion factor of 0.3048. The new output raster was named "base_clp_m," (Figure 14).



Figure 14: Raster Calculator to Covert Precambrian Basement From Feet to Meters

5. Calculating Storage Window From Elevation Data and Resampling

The storage window for supercritical CO₂ is nominally 800m below the surface, so to find this I used the "Raster Calculator" tool in "Spatial Analyst Tools > Map Algebra" to subtract 800m from the "Elev_clp" raster. The new "Output raster" is called "window," (Figure 15).



Figure 15: Calculating CO2 Storage Window From Elevation Data

Next this storage window needed to be resampled so that the cell size was the same as the depth to basement raster layer, which would allow for the use of the "Cut Fill" tool for calculation of the volume.

I went to "Data Management > Raster > Raster Processing > Resample," and used the "window" raster as the "Input Raster," named the "Output Raster" as "window_" and set the new cell size to the same as "base_clp_m" (0.018,0.018) (Figure 16).



Figure 16: Adjusting the Cell Size of the Precambrian Basement Layer with Resampling

6. Projecting Rasters for the Cut Fill Tool

The goal of this step is to project the rasters into UTM so that the (x,y) units are the same as z (meters) for the "Cut Fill" Tool. In order to use the "Cut Fill" tool in the next step, I used the "Project Raster" tool in "Data Management Tools > Projections and Transformations > Raster > Project Raster," to project the storage window and base rasters into NAD 1983 HARN UTM Zone 14N (Figure 17).

					-	
Samhart_Project - ArcMap					- 0	×
File Edit View Bookmarks Insert Selection Geoprocessing Cu	istomize Windows Help					
ि 🖆 🖬 🖨 👒 🍈 🛍 🗙 🔊 🗠 🔶 - 1:3.000.000	🗠 🔜 🗔 🗔 🖉 🕒 📴 🛓 ArcGIS World Geocoding 🗸 🏠 <type address="" an=""></type>		🗸 🔌 🖗 ରୁ 🔒 🖓 ଅ	1 2 3 2 2 3 4 3 9 3 1	📄 🔋 3D Analyst 🖲 🕸 window_ 💽 🎘 🚵 🐝	1 🖻 🔋
i 🔍 Q, 🕙 🎱 💥 😂 (+ +) 🕸 - 🖄 h 🔞 🖉 💯 🔛 👪 🕅	- 過 泉 岡 國 🖉 🖉 🖉 🚺 🔍 🍋 💡 Editor+ ト 🖕 / アア 口 - 米 凶 比 中 × ら		🗑 🖕 🧇 GeothermalGradient_Cor	nt 💌 🕕 🗮 🎼 🔿 🔝 👘 500	≑ 💡 Georeferencing * 🛛 Midland Basin Outline.jpg 👘 🗸 🦨	1 × 1
LAS Dataset *	■ 競・圖・Filters・(令 ゆ 合 寺) 四回 () 合) 邸・(虹 段 可 16) 田 (母 号) 珍!	8.12	지 <u>⊣</u> +/1※◎ 제@@	🛙 🗄 🗄 🕼 🕥 📕 İ Labeling • 📤	🕰 🝓 🐴 🎪 Fast 🚽 🚽 Snapping - O 🖽 🔲 🗖 🖕	
Table Of Contents 7 ×	NOT A REAL PROPERTY OF A REAL PR	1128			ArcToolbox	4 × 🖂
	S Project Raster		– 🗆 X		S ArcToolbox	^ }
🗉 🚅 Layers 📃 🔥					B SD Analyst Tools	ibut
GeothermalGradient_Contour_NAD27	Input Raster	^	Output Coordinate		Analysis Tools Cattography Tools	8
- Ch Midead Back	jwindow		System	marker and and	Conversion Tools	
Midland Basin	Input Coordinate System (optional)	1000	The coordinate system for		Data Interoperability Tools	S 10
E 🔽 County	GCS_North_American_1983		the new raster dataset.		🖂 🚳 Data Management Tools	atalo
	Output Raster Dataset	-			Archiving	ĕ
🗉 🗆 window_	C: (users) a yor (pesktop) (urad School (p.1. Pall Semester 2020 (acc3366 GLS & GPS Applications in Earth Science (Proje			TRUCT	Attachments Data Comparison	6
Value	Output Coordinate System				Distributed Geodatabase	Sear
High: 271.84	INNO TROP TUNKU TO IN TOUR THAN				🖂 🔛 🔊 Domains	4
Low : -173.697	Vertical (optional)				H S Feature Class	
	Geographic Transformation (optional)				Features	
base_clp_m		~			File Gendatabase	
Value High - 1469 4	NAD_1983_To_HARN_West_Texas	+		and the second se	🗑 🐨 General	
Tight - House		-			🗑 🔊 Generalization	
Low : - 3706.49		×		2	🗄 🛞 Geodatabase Administration	
		1		3	Geometric Network	
window		1.0		1	indexer	
Elev_clp		+			H S Joins	
D orek str					🕀 🗞 LAS Dataset	
Midland_Elev				1 2 3	E S Layers and Table Views	
USGS_1_n32w102.tif	Resampling Technique (optional)	~		VIE Y	H Speckage	
I USGS_NED_1_n34w103_IMG.img	Output Cel Size (ootional)			Start Start	Protos Projections and Transformations	
		- 😝			🗌 🗞 Raster	
USGS_I_n33W105.ttr USGS_NED 1 n34w102 IMG imm	x y			1 min /	Flip	
	1890.60137428427 1890.6013742	8427		X VI	Mirror	
	Resistration Boint (antional)			1 - TY	Project Raster	
	X Coordinate Y Coordinate				Rescale	
USGS_NED_1_n33w102_IMG.img Midhad Basis Outline inc.				No. A Company	Rotate	
RGB				3.3	Shift	
Red: Band 1				The second second	Warp	
Results # x				5 North	Warp From File	
Current Session					Convert Coordinate Notation	
🗉 🐑 Previous Session					Create Custom Geographic Transformatio	n
Shared				726	Create Spatial Reference	
Stade 4		\sim	\sim		Define Projection	
				M Le 3	Project	
	OK Cancel Environments < <h< td=""><td>ide Help</td><td>Tool Help</td><td>hand it</td><td>🐨 🗸 🖌 Relationship Classes</td><td></td></h<>	ide Help	Tool Help	hand it	🐨 🗸 🖌 Relationship Classes	
□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □		_		1	> 🖽 🇞 Sampling	*
Drawing • 隆 💿 🚳 🗌 • 🗛 • 🖾 🙋 Atal 👘 🗸 1	0 ∨ B I <u>U</u> <u>A</u> + ⁽) + <u>#</u> + <u>•</u> + _p					
Geoprocessing tool that transforms a raster dataset from one map projection to	o another.				-11485471.078 3647598.252 Meters	

Figure 17: Projecting Each Raster Layer into UTM Coordinates

7. Cut Fill to Determine Storage Volume

I used the "Cut Fill" tool in "3D Analyst Tools > Raster Surface > Cut Fill" to estimate the volume between the top of storage window and the bottom of storage window. Since the UTM coordinates are in units of meters, no Z factor was applied (Figure 18).



Figure 18: Volume Estimation Using the Cut Fill (With Projection) Tool Set-Up

The resulting layer showed that the volume of storage for CO_2 is a maximum of 98,623,678,886,299.03125 m³ (Figure 19).

_					
🛃 Barnhart_Project - ArcMap					- 0 ×
File Edit View Bookmarks Insert Selection Geoprocessing Customize Windows Help					
🗋 🚔 📾 🚔 % 🛞 🛍 🗙 🤊 (~ 🚸 + 1:3.000.000 💦 🗸 🔛 📰 🇊 🗊 🖓 👘 🛄 🐎 🚽 ArcGIS World Geocoding 🗸 🥀 (Type	e an address>	v 🌢 🖗 🗞 🚽 🕮 🕾 🗸	1 8 8 8 8 8 💷 🗸 🕒 🛔	3D Analyst • 🔯 base_utm	💽 % 🚵 🐝 🔔 🗎
[역, 역, 환 🙆 () 💥 5월 (종주) 1월 - 🏻 1월 🚳 🥖 💯 1 🔛 🏙 🏙 🖑 🍰 💿 🗐 💭 🚽 1월 🖉 🖉 💌 🖻 🚽 5 Editor* (두 5), 기기기	年·米 四国中×夏	🔲 🛆 🗑 💂 😽 GeothermalGradient_Cont 💌	🕕 🔆 🐴 🔷 🖪 😓 500 🗦 🙀 .	eoreferencing * Midland Basin Outline.jpg	✓ ✓ ≪ 4 4
LAS Dataset * 🗾 👷 - 📴 - Filters * (소 다 순 구) 🖾 🖉 : (습) 타 - 번 환	可能的变形的	- BZ불필환거중●I페ል\$\$	🗄 ::::: 🟐 🔘 📕 : Labeling • 🚖 🐴 🐴	🕯 🐴 🏤 Fast 🚽 🚊 Snapping = 🖸	80 <u>7</u>
Table Of Contents # ×	A Table	2		X ArcToolbox	9 × 🖂
	📴 • I 🔁 • I 🖬 🕻	1 🖸 🖓 🗙		🚳 ArcToolbox	A
n 🗐 lavers	×			🗙 😑 😂 3D Analyst Tools	ribu
GeothermalGradient_Contour_NAD27		VOLUME	AREA	B S 3D Features CityEngine	les
The Design of th			AREA	Sourcession	1
	1 9974	98623678886299.03125	35656433122.011322	🛞 🗞 Data Management	0
E 🗹 County	No.			Source Functional Surface	talo
				Kaster Interpolation Sector Math	(a)
				Raster Reclass	0
Volume				🖃 🇞 Raster Surface	620
				Aspect	1
Net Loss				S Contour	
🗄 🗹 base_utm				Contour List	
Value				Cuncture	
High: -1468.4				Cut Fill	
1 cm 3705.49				Hillshade	
				Slope	
🛛 🗹 window_utm				🗉 🇞 Triangulated Surface	
Value	100.000			🕑 👒 Visibility	
High: 271.84	19.5			Gartography Tools	
- Leve 172 507				Conversion Tools	
				🗄 🏟 Data Interoperability Tools	
e window				🗄 🧐 Data Management Tools	
B base_clp_m	and the second s			Granding Tools	
II window	STATE OF THE STATE			Geocoding Tools Geocraticical Analyst Tools	
B D Elev_clp				Georgen Annual Annual Constant Con	
Basement_cip	de la			B Multidimension Tools	
				🛞 🧐 Network Analyst Tools	
■ USGS 1 n32w102.tif	2 K.2*			Parcel Fabric Tools	
USGS_NED_1_n34w103_JMG.img				Group Table	
				Space Time Pattern Mining Tr	ols
				Spatial Analyst Tools	
H USGS NED T NSWIDZ ING.IMG	14			Spatial Statistics Tools	
Results 4 X				III Stracking Analyst Tools	
Current Session					
The stand					
The state of the s	144				
	<			>	
	E H 4 1	H B (0 out of 1 Selected)			
	X Midland Barin	(and) () () () () () () () () ()			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
				11 (77730 01 30)	0051 005 14-1

Figure 19: Volume Estimation Using the Cut Fill (With Projection) Tool Results

An alternative way of calculating this volume without projecting the raster layers is to use the Z Factor to convert decimal degrees (DD) to meters (m) (1 DD = 111,000 m) (Figure 20).

Barnhart_Project - ArcMap						- 0 ×
File Edit View Bookmarks Insert Selection	Geoprocessing Cust	tomize Windows Help				
। 🗋 🛃 👌 🐇 🗿 👸 🗙 🔊 ला 🔶 - 🗈	3,000,000	Image: Second	v 🎄 🖗 😪 📕 🚳 🚳 I	27 C C R C 2 R 20 M	3D Analyst * 😽 base_utm	🖸 🗏 💩 🛸 上 🗈 🔋
€ € 🕅 🙆 💥 53 (+ ⇒) 🕅 - 🖄 🖡 🤇	🇿 🖉 🖭 🔠 🗛 🤉	満 🖁 🔲 🗐 🖉 🔊 🚺 🔍 🍋 🚽 Editor 🖬 ト 5.1 ノア 石・米1 5.15 中 × 5.1 🗐	🗛 📝 📮 🐶 GeothermalGradient Co	ont 🔻 🐌 🐇 🚫 🐻 🗇 500 🔹 🖉 Ge	oreferencing * Midland Basin Outline.jpg	V 2 2 2 2 2
LAS Dataset -		Ⅰ 〒 - Filter - 小小合手 回回。 会 降 - 班 段 - 山田 屋里 段 명。	[] 김 희수·가 ※ @] 폐 & :	🕅 🗄 🗄 🔘 🖉 📕 Labeling - 🏤 🐴 🐴	A A Fast Snapping • O	# D d .
Table Of Contents 7 ×	A STATE OF STATE	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A			ArcToolbox	# × 🖂
N: 0 😞 🗛 🖂	Salara and place	Cut Fill	– 🗆 ×		S ArcToolbox	2
E # Lavers	State	¥			😑 🌍 3D Analyst Tools	Tibu
GeothermalGradient_Contour_NAD27	1 Test 1 1 200	Input before raster surface	Z factor (optional)	^	So Git Grazina	0.5
-	and the second	window_ 🔟 🖆	Number of second second	ment and the	CityEngine Conversion	-
Midland Basin	a series and the series of the	Input after raster surface	units in one surface z-unit.	W I W	🗄 💊 Data Management	44
		base_clp_m 🗾 😫			🗉 🗞 Functional Surface	12
	1 2 7 7	Output raster	The z-factor adjusts the		Raster Interpolation	la la
⊞ 🗆 ×	and the second second	C: Users \Taylor \Desktop \Grad School \UT Fall Semester 2020 \GEO386G GIS & GPS Applications in Earth Science \Projz	units of measure for the z-	The state	Raster Math	-
base_utm	Sec. 1	Z factor (optional)	different from the x,y units		Rester Surface	Sea
window_utm	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	11000	of the input surface. The z-		Aspect	Ch
Window_	No. of Concession, Name		values of the input surface		Contour	
High: 271.84	Contraction of the second		factor when calculating the		Contour List	
	Salah Shine Shi		final output surface.		Contour with Barriers	
Low : -173.697			Make an order and a surface	1 minut	Curvature 6 Cut Ell	
	C STOCKE		are in the same units of		Hilbhade	
base_clp_m	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		measure, the z-factor is 1.	Stand I The	Slope	
High: -1468.4	and a state		This is the default.	2	🛞 🚳 Triangulated Surface	
			If the visconite and a unite		🗉 🗞 Visibility	
Low : -3706.49			are in different units of		Analysis Tools	
	12100 101 101		measure, the z-factor	2 2 3	Conversion Tools	
window			must be set to the		🗑 🚳 Data Interoperability Tools	
B D Batement cln	States and States		results will be incorrect.	1. 5 3 2	🗉 🌍 Data Management Tools	
B prek str			For example, if your z-	1 1 2	General Editing Tools	
Midland_Elev	/ wh		units are feet and your x,y	1 1 12	Geocoding Tools	
USGS_1_n32w102.tif	1 32.5		would use a z-factor of		Geostatistical Analyst Tools	
USGS_NED_1_n34w103_IMG.img	1 1 6 2 5		0.3048 to convert your z-	23117	Multidimension Tools	
	the first and		units from feet to meters (1 foot = 0.3048 meter)	13 - m 1	Go Network Analyst Tools	
USGS NED 1 n34w102 IMG.img	The second second		(1100) = 0.3040 million).		😥 🎯 Parcel Fabric Tools	
	Star and and				Schematics Tools	
USGS_1_n31w102.tif	Conner al				Server Tools	
	Start and a			in the second	Space time Pattern Mining 100	6
III USGS NED 1 n33w102 IMG.img ♥				2 Part Asian	Spatial Statistics Tools	
Results 7 ×	61112			-s Manual I	G Tracking Analyst Tools	
E Current Session	1011			111111111		
	Contraction of the second			the state of the s		
	E TO TO			1 2 2 2 2 2 4 1 1		
	The Dense 7		~	The L		
	Stand Sea		T. Jul			
	The Part of the Pa	OK Cancel Environments << Hide Help	Toornep	Server and the server	~	
Drawing • 隆 💮 🚳 🗌 • 🗛 • 🖾 🙋 Atal	v 10) v B I U A - % - 2		,		
					11000100.001.040	2000 000 14 14 19 19

Figure 20: Volume Estimation Using the Cut Fill (With Z Factor) Tool Set-Up

The resulting layer showed that the volume of storage for CO_2 is a maximum of 1,043,052,398.092222 m³ (Figure 21).



Figure 21: Volume Estimation Using the Cut Fill (With Z Factor) Tool Set-Up

The more accurate result will be with projecting into UTM coordinates, since the conversion from DD to m is a rough approximation, so the volume of maximum storage is $98,623,678,886,299.03125 \text{ m}^3$.

8. Applying an Efficiency Factor

The average porosity of the Wolfcamp Formation in the Midland Basin is 6.0% (U.S. Energy Information Administration, October 2018). This porosity was used to apply a rough efficiency factor to the previously calculated CO₂ storage volume in order to get a more accurate estimation. The following formula was used:

$$S_e = S * e$$

Where S_e is the storage estimate after applying the efficiency factor, S is the original storage estimate, and e is the efficiency factor, which in this case is the porosity of the Wolfcamp Formation.

 $S_e = 98,623,678,886,299.03125 m^3 * 0.06 = 5,917,420,773,177.941875 m^3$

Data Presentation

The results of this project indicate that there is the potential for $5,917,420,773,177.941875 m^3$ of CO₂ storage within the Midland Basin of the Permian Basin in West Texas, USA. The map (Figure 22) below shows the approximate height of the storage window in the Midland Basin (difference between the top of the CO₂ storage window and the depth to the Precambrian basement).



HEIGHT OF SUPERCRITICAL CO2 STORAGE WINDOW IN THE MIDLAND BASIN

Figure 22: Final Map of Height of Storage Window in the Midland Basin

Discussion

This calculated storage potential volume for CO₂ is realistically an over-estimate for potential storage. This is because I did not include factors, such as permeability, and formation heterogeneity, which will ultimately impact the amount of CO₂ that is able to be stored. The porosity factor applied is generalized for a wide range of stratigraphic facies within the Midland Basin as well. The volume calculated can be further improved with more precise data for each of the pertinent aspects necessary to calculate volume. Including geothermal gradient and potentiometric surface would potentially change this estimated volume, since these factors may influence the supercritical CO₂ storage window top. Further refinement of this storage volume potential could take place by converting this value to tons of supercritical CO₂, but this varies as temperature and pressure vary and would require more in-depth calculations. Higher resolution elevation data as well as higher resolution data for the depth to Precambrian basement would also improve this estimation. This process for estimating volume of storage can and should be further applied to other areas of the Permian Basin, such as the Delaware Basin. This will further expand the estimate for storage potential.

Bibliography

Ruppel, S. C., Jones, R. H., Breton, C. L. & Kane, J. A., 2005. *Preparation of Maps Depicting Geothermal Gradient and Precambrian Strucutre in the Permian Basin,* Austin, TX: Bureau of Economic Geology.

U.S. Energy Information Administration, October 2018. *Permian Basin Wolfcamp Shale Play Geology Review*, https://www.eia.gov/maps/pdf/PermianBasin_Wolfcamp_EIAReport_Oct2018.pdf: s.n.